not be able to do so in the next year, if the prices of paddy and other indigenous products do not rise. They will be compelled to give remissions. They do not know with what great difficulty people are paying the land revenue. As regards the Abkari Revenue,

Deputy President.—The Hon'ble Member will confine his remarks to the Land Revenue Department alone.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Sir, I want to tell the Government that their sources of revenue will be crippled next year. I want to tell them that before it is too late. They will not be able to get the land revenue they got this year. The Abkari Revenue, I am sure, is bound to contract. So also the customs revenue. I would therefore in the light of these facts request the Government to consider all these facts before they raise the pay of officers. I understand that there are resolutions for effecting retrenchments and I shall reserve my further remarks for the present. With these few words I move the motion.

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—I was made to understand by the Secretary to the Council that the cut motion would relate only to the Land Revenue Department and to those officers whose pay is votable. I am not prepared to enter into a discussion of the general financial policy of the Government. It cannot be treated so lightly. Of course there are many resolutions tabled, some resolutions on the very same subject. We are not now prepared for the discussion of this cut motion. There has been no complaint and we are not going to raise the pay of any officer in the Land Revenue Department.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—I don't press the motion.

Motion withdrawn with leave of the House.

The Demand was put and carried.

8. Police—Cranganur—Rs. 230.

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—I rise to move for a supplementary grant of Rs. 230 under Police—Cranganur.

The demand was put and carried.

9. Public Works—Cranganur—Rs. 14,952—3—0.

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—Sir, I rise to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 14,952-3-0 under Cranganur—Public Works. This is on account of certain works provisions for which lapsed under unavoidable circumstances such as delay in taking possession of lands, etc., in 1106. The works concerned are metalling the Azhikode road to Padakulam-Eriyad road, construction of sheds to the Girls' School and the improve-

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ment of the Azhikode road and improvements to the Lokamaleswaram drainage canal. Payments for these works are essential for which there is no sufficient allotment. No reappropriation is possible in the budget. I therefore request the House to grant this allotment.

Motion No. 1.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—I don't move.

Hence the following motion was considered withdrawn.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—*To reduce the allotment under Public Works—Cranganur by Re. 1.*

Motion No. 2.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—I move for the reduction of this demand by Re. 1.

My object in tabling this motion is to bring to the notice of the Government the unsatisfactory condition of the Chalakkudi road where it meets the Edakkunni road. This fact has been brought to the notice of the Government three or four years ago and I have been asking the Government at least once a year to get the encroachments removed. I do not know why they have not done so. They have been promising to do so every year. I am sure that anybody who has passed through that road will have felt the want of space there. It is only about 8 or nine feet wide in a crowded locality. There are shops on either side. There are about 25 to 30 buses running that way every day in addition to cart and other traffic. I would therefore pray that the Government should take early and immediate steps to remove the encroachments and have that road widened and made it convenient to the public. I want to know what the Government have to say in the matter.

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—I may inform the House that acquisition proceedings is going on.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—May I know when they will be able to take possession of the site and to finish the work?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—As soon as the acquisition of the sites are completed we shall make the road.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—The same reply had been given to me for the last four or five years. May I know whether there is any possibility of having the work done in a reasonably short time?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—I do not remember to have given the same reply before. I never said that acquisition proceedings were going on in the past.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—I do not quarrel with that, Sir. Is there any prospect of the encroachment being removed in the near future ?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—We hope so.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—I don't press the motion.

Motion withdrawn with leave of the House.

The Demand was put and carried.

Bill to amend the Cochin Cattle Trespass Regulation.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—I move that the Bill to amend the Cochin Cattle Trespass Regulation be taken into consideration.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Deputy President.—Whereas it is expedient further to amend the Cochin Cattle Trespass Regulation, III of 1071, hereinafter referred to as the said Regulation, it is hereby enacted as follows :—

Preamble

Preamble put and carried.

1. This Regulation may be called the Cochin Cattle Trespass (Amendment) Regulation.....of.....and it shall come into force on.....

Short title and commencement.

Section put and carried.

2. To section 3, of the said Regulation, the following proviso shall be added.

Addition of a proviso to section 3.

"Provided the Government may by notification published in the Cochin Government Gazette extend the provisions of this Regulation to ducks and drakes and may authorise the persons mentioned in section 10 to seize or cause to be seized such ducks and drakes as commit the trespass specified in section 10 and impound such ducks and drakes. The Government may also by notification published in the Cochin Government Gazette, frame rules for the impounding of ducks and drakes and the rules when so published shall be deemed to form part of this Regulation.

Section put aud carried.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—I move that the Bill may be taken up and passed to-morrow.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Motion put and carried.

The Cochin Arbitration Bill.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—Sir, I move that the Cochin Arbitration Bill be read in Council.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—The object of this Bill is to provide for reference to arbitration matters which are not provided for in the Civil Procedure Code. In the Civil Procedure Code there is only provision for reference of matters pending adjudication by the court itself. There is no provision for refer-

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly